

CHI Learning & Development System (CHILD)

Project Title

Automated result verification (autoverification) of quantitative urine analysis results:

An Improvement in Time And Cost Savings

Project Lead and Members

- Gea Chong Jin
- Cheong Woon Ting Priscilia
- Wong Sau Yeng Jayme
- Ng Wai Yoong
- Yeo Chin Pin

Organisation(s) Involved

Singapore General Hospital

Aims

The Singapore General Hospital's clinical biochemistry lab generates more than 13 million analytical results a year, which is time consuming and requires manpower.

Through autoverification, the lab aimed to reduce the time taken to manually review results for the 27 analytes by 75%.

Background

See poster appended / below

Methods

See poster appended / below

Results

See poster appended / below

Conclusion

See poster appended / below



CHI Learning & Development System (CHILD)

Additional Information

Singapore Healthcare Management (SHM) Conference 2021 – Merit Award (Operations Category)

Project Category

Automation, IT & Robotics

Keywords

Automation, IT & Robotics, Time Saving, Cost Saving, Manpower Saving, Quantitative, Process Improvement, Healthcare Administration, Singapore General Hospital, Operations, Auto Verification, Urine Analysis, Clinical Biochemistry, Quality Triangle

Name and Email of Project Contact Person(s)

Name: Gea Chong Jin

Email: singaporehealthcaremanagement@singhealth.com.sg

If you're experiencing problems submitting your content, please contact the CHILD Administrator at CHILD@ttsh.com.sq



Automated Result Verification (Autoverification) Of Quantitative Urine Analysis Results: An Improvement In Time And Cost Savings



Gea Chong Jin / Cheong Woon Ting Priscilia Wong Sau Yeng Jayme / Ng Wai Yoong / Yeo Chin Pin

Introduction

The Singapore General Hospital's clinical biochemistry lab generates more than 13 million analytical results a year. A typical lab investigation goes through the following procedure:







Time

Pre-processing (e.g. blood collection)

Analysis → Result (Complex instruments)

Review and Reporting (Through lab info system LIS)

The Quality Triangle and resource limitation

The lab maintains stringent quality procedures to ensure quality lab testing.

However, with limited resources (based on Quality Triangle):

- Quality + Shorter Time = \$\$\$ (manpower)
- Quality + Lower Cost = Slow (slow testing)

Worsen with 11 workload

Quality

Cost

Solution? AUTOMATE!

Result review is time consuming and requires manpower.

However, with clear defined settings, result review process can be automated (autoverify). The lab decided to autoverify:

- 14 different measured urine analytes
- 13 results calculated from the 14 measured analytes.

The lab aimed to reduce the time taken to manually review results for the 27 analytes by **75%** through autoverification.

Results

The lab had successfully implemented the settings and algorithms required to accurately hold back results that needed to be reviewed.

Accuracy of autoverification

From the audit performed using data from July to Sept 2020, all results were found to have been accurately autoverified or held back for review.

Autoverification rate

Number of urine results reported: 266,973 Number of autoverified results: 217,239

Autoverification rate: 81% (equivalent time saved)

Time and cost savings

Manual review time (min) per result:

Time (hrs) saved for 217,239 results: 3620 (3 months)

Time (hrs) saved a year (estimated):

14,480

Manpower cost saved/avoided: (assume 42hr work week per year)

Methodology

Data Review Period

The lab surveyed 3 months of data from July to Sept 2020 after autoverification was implemented in May 2020

Autoverification Criteria

The lab defined results unsuitable for autoverification as:

- 2) Test result in the critical ranges 🗸 🕏 🕺
- 3) Significantly different from previous patient history

Autoverified Tests

The 14 measured urine analytes that were reviewed are listed below:

Urea	Sodium	Potassium	Chloride	Creatinine
Bicarbonate	Calcium	Phosphate	Magnesium	Total Protein
Albumin	Uric Acid	Triglceryide	Amylase	

The 13 calculated results were into account violation of the acceptable criteria of the measured analytes. The list of calculated results are as shown below:

24hr Calcium	24hr Phosphate	24hr Urea	24hr Sodium	24hr Potassium
24hr Chloride	24hr Creatinine	24hr Uric Acid	24hr Magnesium	24hr Albumin
24hr Total	Albumin/Creatinine	Protein/Creatinine		
Protein	ratio	ratio		

Discussion and Conclusion

Prior to autoverification, the lab coped with the urine chemistry testing and result reporting process in the midst of its high workload by processing small batches throughout a prolonged 12 hour period:

- 1) The responsibility of reviewing results fell partly after office hours. This is not ideal as staff on evening and night shifts are working at skeletal strength and should be focusing on other more critical laboratory testing functions (e.g. attending to blood test requests from ED and ICUs).
- 2) Result review was delayed and not immediately after specimen analysis, resulting in some clinicians giving feedback regarding the delay in result reporting.

With an aging population and increasing prevalence of chronic diseases, laboratory medicine can expect a continuous increment in workload and lab investigations. Manual reviewing of results would become unsustainable and there would be a greater need to setup intelligent systems to automate result review processes.

Autoverification of urine chemistry results had demonstrated capacity to significantly improve operational efficiency through automation. This allows staff to focus attention on critical tests functions, quality assurance and research.

The lab will next aim to autoverify results from blood chemistry tests, starting with requests from primary healthcare (eg. polyclinics).